

Emergency Services Council Meeting Minutes April 16, 2015

The Emergency Services Council was called to order by Chairperson Adrienne Tissier at 5:35 p.m. Roll Call was taken.

Members Present:

Atherton	Cary Wiest
Belmont	David Braunstein
Burlingame	Terry Nagel
Colma	Diana Colvin
Half Moon Bay	Deborah Penrose
Hillsborough	Jay Benton
Millbrae	Wayne Lee
Pacifica	Sue Digre
Portola Valley	John Richards
San Bruno	Rico Medina
San Mateo	Jack Matthews
South San Francisco	Pradeep Gupta
Woodside	Dave Burow
San Mateo County	Adrienne Tissier

Members Absent

Brisbane	Clarke Conway
Daly City	Raymond Buenaventura
East Palo Alto	Donna Rutherford
Foster City	Charles Bronitsky
Menlo Park	Ray Mueller
Redwood City	Ian Bain
San Carlos	Matt Grocott

Meeting was called to order at 5:35. Roll call was taken.

Approval of minutes-

Motion to approve minutes from January 15, 2015 Meeting

2nd Motion to approve minutes from January 15, 2015 Meeting

13 Ayes; 0 Nays;

Minutes of January 15, 2015 approved

Consent Agenda- All items listed is for informational purposes. No action is required by the Council. There were no questions or comments.

Operational Report was handed out. The most notable event was a 6 alarm grass fire in Pacifica.

OLD BUSINESS

JPA Revision Update: Jeff Kearnan- All of the cities in the county have approved the revised JPA agreement through their councils. This has also gone to the Board of Supervisors for approval. According to government code 6503.6, it requires us to send the JPA to the state Controller's Office and the Secretary of State. This was not done with the original JPA agreement. We are just waiting for a formal response back from them.

Skylawn Radio Tower Lease Update: Gordon Helms (ISD) - The status of the long term lease is 99% complete. We just need to lock in the final location of the tower and the shelter in order to complete the lease. Why has it taken so long? North Star Group has asked us to move the tower and location three times during negotiations. All three of those times had resulted in costs that were beyond our budget or unfavorable conditions to the County. We met last week with them and we have a tentative agreement for a location that is acceptable to both them and us. As soon as we ink that agreement we can finalize the plans and submit for final approval. We hope to have this completed before the next meeting.

San Francisco Int. Airport and JPA/ESC Update: - Captain Kearnan- Right now the airport is not choosing to join the JPA.

Captain Kearnan introduced Rob Forrester Senior- Manager of Safety and Larry Mares -Manager of Emergency Services from the San Francisco Airport.

Rob Forrester- (San Francisco Airport) -I want to apologize that it has taken us so long to come here and address our position on becoming a formal member to the Emergency Services Council. I have just a few talking points regarding that. Larry Mares the Emergency Manager at the airport has a short presentation on the airport and our emergency services.

You received an e-mail from our legal staff regarding why the airport can't join the JPA.

There are three reasons the airport is unable to become a member of the organization.

1. Federal law prohibits the expenditure of airport funds for non-aviation purposes. This precludes the airport from making a financial contribution to the organization.
2. The Airport Commission being a department of the City and County of San Francisco lacks the authority to enter into a Joint Powers Agreement with another county.
3. Per FAA regulations physical resources must remain on airport property to respond to any emergency on airport property.

These are the components as outlined by our legal staff on why we cannot formally join this organization.

The airport greatly values the partnership with the County of San Mateo and we definitely want to stay involved with the organizations without becoming a formal member.

Supervisor Tissier- I am curious if the City and County of San Francisco could become a member vs the airport itself and still participate. We have Sheriff's Office personnel at the Airport and we respond. Did we take the wrong path, should it have been more of the city and county which would not be spending airport dollars?

Rob Forrester (SF Airport) My understanding is that either option would not be permitted. I will clarify that with our staff.

Supervisor Tissier- I would be curious. It is collaboration and we already expend a lot of our time and dollars at the airport. The airport sits in our county. It seems to me it would be more collegial to have the City and County of San Francisco to be a partner. Just as the cities here, even though the airport might not be in their city specific, it is in our county and they are willing to participate financially as well. Can you send that message back to the Mayor?

Jack Matthews (San Mateo) – Does San Francisco sit on the Cal Train board?

Supervisor Tissier- It is a three county board.

Jack Matthews (San Mateo) - There is a precedent for that than. As I understood, Federal law prohibits the airport from using federal funds, are there not other funds available?

Rob Forrester (SF Airport) -My understanding is that no funds can be used except for aviation purposes.

Jack Matthews (San Mateo)-Even if they are not federal funds? Isn't that a little overreaching.

Rob Forrester (SF Airport) –Yes

Jack Matthews (San Mateo) -Isn't there precedent for emergency services from the airport actually providing assistance at the San Bruno explosion and fire?

Rob Forrester (SF Airport) - Yes. We have some flexibility and that is why we definitely value the partnership and we want to support you in any way we can. There are some ways and that is a perfect example. We do have federal requirements that require minimum staffing levels for equipment at the airport. In specific situations such as San Bruno we do have the ability, depending if we have additional equipment levels and personal over and above our minimum requirements at the time to offer support or specific equipment. We can't formalize that however.

Jack Matthews (San Mateo) - That is the essence of mutual aid. We all try to cover for each other. We would not expect you to draw down your resources to the point that you would endanger the safety at the airport. There is a mutual aid agreement with all of the cities in the JPA.

Rico Medina (San Bruno) –Jack is right. You are correct in the San Bruno scenario. Also when there was a disaster when the airplane came in, I know that San Bruno and surrounding cities as a team again responded. I know that you are just a messenger, but as Jack pointed out you did respond off of the airport. So again it is about mutual aid and working for the common good. I hear what you are saying but a.) If it is clear and defined we should have had an answer long ago, and b) as far as mutual aid it does not sound very cooperative.

Terry Nagel (Burlingame) - I recall seeing the statistics on the number of times that our fire departments came to the aid of the airport, and seeing zero on the airport side. I would request that our staff show those statistics to San Francisco to show how lopsided the mutual aid agreement is. I feel strongly that we help you but you are drawing a line in the sand saying you have limited ability to help us. That would not cut it in a major disaster.

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) - Is the airport also responsible for the passengers and visitors at the airport?

Rob Forrester (SF Airport) - Yes

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) – In an event of an earthquake and you don't have the resources to provide safety and health for these passengers you are going to have to rely on the surrounding area. Therefore you need to spend funding on the surrounding area to provide the infrastructure in case the airport does not have what is needed for these people.

Rob Forrester (SF Airport) – Yes through mutual aid. Again the airport does recognize the partnership of the surrounding area. Again with our legal staff's analysis formal membership would not be permitted.

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) I would just be careful when you say that you can't spend money off the airport property. When you do that you are spending money off of the airport property.

Larry Mares (SF Airport)- Gave a power point presentation on Emergency Management at the airport.

Larry Mares (SF Airport) I would like to reiterate what Rob said that we are committed to being partners with this body, emergency services and sharing resources whenever we can. Granted that we are bound by federal law and the FAA restrictions on what we have on airport property. I know it is hard to put your arms around this but it is one of the struggles we have at the airport that limits us on what we can participate in.

Supervisor Tissier- Can we get in writing what the conditions that you can't abide by to join the JPA.

Larry Mares (SF Airport)- Yes we will get someone to get you the information

Gary Wiest (Atherton) - Can you also address why the City and County of San Francisco can't join the JPA as well.

Larry Mares (SF Airport) - If you are asking if they can join, if they can join to use the airport resources they are bound by the same rules and restrictions.

I will get someone to come back and clarify some of your questions.

Supervisor Tissier- The other resource that is always available is financial It could be financial resources from the City and County of San Francisco so this group uses their resources can continue to survive.

After the presentation: Questions:

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) – I did not see the National Guard in your presentation. I am assuming they would be flying in supplies in a large disaster

Larry Mares (SF Airport) – They may. They would more than likely use a military installation like Moffet. But we would be open if they needed use the airport.

Sue Digre (Pacifica) - I am puzzled that you said you are working on interoperability. That sends a red alert to me.

Larry Mares (SF Airport) - We have interoperability. We have been running drills with San Mateo.

Sue Digre (Pacifica) -Being able to communicate was one of the main reasons we wanted you to come on board.

NEW BUSINESS

FY 15/17 Budget Presentation: Narayan Nair- I wanted to give you an update on the current year budget, before presenting the new two year budget. Both the OES and Hazmat budget are within budget. We might not need any of the reserves that were budgeted this fiscal year, which was about \$45,000. This amount will remain in reserves.

The two year budget proposed for FY 15-16 and FY 16-17 has an increase in both fiscal years, mainly operating expenses. This budget was prepared without using any reserves. Any increase in cost has been allocated to the member agencies and the county based on the formula. In FY 15-16 the total JPA budget increased by \$112,000, an 11% increase from the current fiscal year. In FY 16-17 the increase is \$11,900, a 1% increase. Hazmat remains the same but that will change depending on the negotiated Belmont Hazmat contract and the OES fire position.

For OES, the FY 15-16 budget increases by \$210,285. The big increase is the annual

contract for the Fire Battalion Chief, which is \$307,000. This year we only added six months which was \$154,000 in the current year. Next year will be a full year, \$307,000. \$115,000 will be covered by the EMPG grant. OES office costs increased by \$32,000 or 5% which was for the county negotiated salaries and benefits. Supplies remain flat but other costs increased by 4%. There were some reductions; office space decreased by 6% (\$6880), telephone costs by 5% (\$3000), and after hour dispatch calls outs by 4% (\$187).

The FY 16-17 personnel costs for OES increase by \$18,000 or 2.8%. This is for salaries and benefits. Supplies increase by \$5600 or 1%, and all other costs remain flat. The OES Fire Battalion Chief position will have to be renegotiated, and depending on the outcome the budget will be revised and brought back to the Council.

The Hazmat budget for FY 15-16 increased by about \$4383 or 2% from this current fiscal year. This was strictly an increase in the Hazmat contract. Environmental Health's budget remained flat.

In FY 16-17 there is no increase in Environmental Health. The Hazmat contract will need to be renegotiated. Any changes in the contract will be brought back to the council for approval. For now I am showing the budget as flat.

On March 24 at the Finance Committee meeting we discussed a reserve policy for the JPA. The committee came up with a cap of \$300,000. Right now there is \$228,000 in reserves. Also discussed at the meeting were the Battalion Chief costs for the next fiscal year and the Hazmat contract.

Supervisor Tissier- I sat on the finance committee along with Council member Matthews. We felt this was a reasonable budget. We did not dip into our reserves. I know that adding on the extra fire officer will increase the budget and we will have to go through this again next year. We have time to see how that position is working out. It seemed important to OES to have this position and felt that it was something that was missing. We felt it was appropriate to move this budget forward to this board.

Pradeep Gupta (South San Francisco) - I feel that this is a healthy budget as well. It shows the cost sometimes going down and the reserves are intact. Is the EMPG grant a sustaining grant or just for the two years?

Captain Kearnan- The EMPG grant is a matching grant. We use this grant to offset our salaries. Each year we apply \$166,000 to our salaries to offset the cost to the cities. We get this grant every year. We have received an increased amount on an annual basis. We

do not see losing that. This year we had \$115,000 that we needed to make a decision on what to do. We decided to apply it to the Battalion Chief position. Once again it is a matching grant. The county manager agreed to pay \$95,000 and the cities the matching portion. Next year, if there are more funds than \$115,000 available, I will apply those to this position as long as we have the match.

Motion to approve the budget:

2nd Motion to approve

14 Ayes; None Opposed

Motion to approve budget passed.

Captain Kearnan- As you know we have two groups, the Finance working group which sets the budget and the Administrative group, which meets a week prior to this meeting. The finance group consists of city council members and our chair, Supervisor Tissier. The Administrative committee consists of city managers and the county manager. During the conversation with the Administrative committee it was brought up that city manager Greg Scoles participated in the finance working group to help explain the hazmat budget and the process. The Administrative committee thought it was good ideas to have a city manager sit on that committee because of their experience working with budgets. Half Moon Bay city manager Magda Gonzalez offered to sit as the chair on the Finance working group. I don't anticipate having another meeting until the end of the year.

EMA Update: B/C Bill Euchner- I am the President of the Emergency Manager's Association. We have a number of working groups within the association. One of the main things that we are working on is getting Emergency Operations Plans for all of the cities. The Hazard Mitigation plan will be due next year and we are working on that as well. A workshop was conducted today which was well attended. We have a CERT working group which works at the tables during Disaster Prep Day. Next week is Silver Dragon. This is an annual CERT exercise.

Fire Battalion Chief OES Position: B/C Dave Pucci- I want to give you a snapshot of some of the projects I am working on, the integration work, the incidents I have been to and tell you what the future plans are through the county chiefs. So far I have been to six incidents. One was the six alarm fire in Pacifica, 2nd alarm fire in San Bruno, 3rd alarm commercial fire in South San Francisco, and a 2nd alarm fire in Millbrae. The fire in Pacifica resulted in evacuations to the Community Center. There were about 30 people temporally evacuated. In San Bruno the fire was at a pizza shop that affected some

nearby apartments. That resulted in working with the Red Cross to provide temporary shelter for five residents. I have also been involved in a couple of law events. One was two SWAT events in East Palo Alto. I was there to provide the fire branch if needed. I also responded to shots fired at a school in Redwood City. This is a good example of why it is important to have fire and law work together side by side. In that incident, which turned out to be unfounded, resulted in a full activation of the response plan for a school shooting. It involved moving three hundred students from classrooms to an evacuation point and reunification. All of that happened as if it was a real incident. Even though it was an unfounded event, if you move three hundred kids under those semi-stressful conditions, you are going to have a medical aid somewhere. Someone had shortness of breath. We had a medical branch set up under the Incident Command structure. We were able to easily deal with it. It did not affect law's response at all. One of the first tasks that I did was to try to attend as many meetings as I could to determine which ones the Fire Battalion Chief should be attending on a consistent basis. Ones that reached the top were Fire Operations, Fire Training Operations, and the Fire Chiefs meetings. There is a mirrored set of these on the Law side. This is a good bridge to bring information back and forth to both disciplines. I am also attending the Emergency Managers Association meetings as well as meetings at SFO. On the integration side I was tasked to bring together the different programs, like the marine unit and cliff and rescue units for both Fire and Law. The marine units need to work together on the bay as well as working with the Coast Guard. One important thing that came up was the Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC) which tracks terrorist threats to the local area. On the law side there is good communication. It was clear that we had a gap on the fire side. Some information that affected Fire was not getting to them. The Fire Battalion Chief is a good person to funnel that information to the appropriate individuals, especially since some of this is sensitive information.

On the training side one of my goals is that OES be more involved in training. OES is in a good position to offer a training block each year that would reach out to all the engine companies in the County and deliver the same training. This could be done through the Training Officers. We plan on starting this in 2016. Another mechanism to get information out is through the Chief Officer Continuing Education which is offered twice a year. You can reach all of the Battalion Chiefs in the county in one setting. I will be participating in the Wild land Fire exercises scheduled throughout the county before fire season starts. I am also involved in the Unified Command training for Fire and Law. Incident Commanders for both Law and Fire go through a block of training and exercise together. I am also working on a body armour grant to get ballistic protection for fire in case of an active shooter incident. The Battalion Chief position is

also a good way to funnel the Emergency Functions and annexes to the key planners all at once. I am also working on auto notifications. We are trying to improve situation awareness between Law and Fire. One of the things that came up at a law meeting was notification of fire at a big event. One of the examples is different TAC alerts or a big mutual aid response. We are trying to develop a mechanism in CAD to alert battalion chiefs automatically. This will apply to boat incidents as well.

The county chiefs are in the process of doing their announcement for the position which will be open to all battalion chiefs in the county.

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) - Are you also integrating communications between fire and law?

We do have good interoperability communication. I think that the best communication is face to face at the command post between law and fire.

Hazmat Update: B/C Thrasher-It has been an uneventful quarter. We are taking this time to review and revamp our SOP's and how we respond. We have two new members of our fire department who will become part of your hazmat team. There is four weeks of intense training for the new members who will join the team in the fall. We are working with North County Fire at their fire academy. We are presenting to their fire recruits on Hazmat procedures in the County. We will also be participating at Disaster Prep Day. We have been working with the airport. We have been reaching out to them. They have certified hazmat personnel at the airport. Their team is not as complete as they would like it to be. We are working with them so that we can work together at large hazmat events.

Drought Update: Brian Molver- Recently we had a conference call with the SFPUC to talk about their catastrophic plan for business continuity to deliver water to their customers. As you know most of the cities in our county are wholesale customers of the SFPUC. Once the water is sold to their customers their function ceases. Once they fix their pipes, the only way that water can flow is if the jurisdiction fixes their pipes. That puts the onus on the County and the operational area for serving water to the public. In interviewing other water companies there is not a clear feeling on what their procedures are in a drought or catastrophic event. At the end of the month there will be a water summit with all of the water suppliers, state small water users, municipal users, and even mom & pop well operators to discuss procedures to report water shortages, foul well water, catastrophic plans to fix their pipes, and if mutual aid exists within their water service area. This is a kick off meeting on April 30 at the Belmont Sport Complex. I think this is a good start especially with the drought. We are going to learn a lot about

outages and services that people may need outside of the wholesale customers.

Jack Matthews (San Mateo) - At our council meeting this week Cal Water asked us to accept their emergency plan. We did not approve it because we did not get a chance to review it. I am not sure that you are aware of that.

Brian Mover (OES) I will get a copy. The summit will be a good time to review and revise our potable water plan that our agency did in 2004. Even though it does not affect wholesale customers it does other people. We will also address the need for a catastrophic plan.

Dave Burow-(Woodside) - What agencies are not covered by the SFPUC?

Brian Molver- (OES) - Mostly the coast is not covered by water service agencies. The coast side is mainly on well or ground water.

Jack Matthews- This is a follow up from the last meeting as I recall La Honda or some other areas were in dire straits. What is their condition now?

Brian Molver (OES) -Their condition is somewhat improved because of the December rain. However, it is going back to drought levels. Martins Beach was out of water so they were hauling in water for some time. The water is now flowing in their creek but they expect it to get worse again. The drought task force, which is chaired by Supervisor Horsley, has met to discuss things to do with CSA-7 which serves a portion of La Honda. They are looking at improving the water conveyance and a plan still exists to contract with Cal Water to haul water to that area when the creek is not high enough to draw from.

Supervisor Tissier turned the meeting over to Council Member Jack Matthews, City of San Mateo

December Rain Storm and Recovery Update: Brian Molver- The governor's office did assist in asking for a Federal declaration specifically for the small business administration to do a disaster loan outreach program. They were able to help with \$700,000 dollars in loans to flood survivors that had property damage. We did allow the Disaster Proclamation to expire when the governor denied our request for California Disaster Assistance Act funds for public assistance with your municipalities for supplies and costs associated with the disaster. That denial was because of the reserves that we have in the county and the operational area.

Public Works MOU: -Randy Breault - This is an update on the Public Works MOU. You do not have a copy of the MOU since it is presently residing in County Counsel for review and to reconcile comments that were received. A little over a year ago the City and County Engineering Association established a subcommittee of the public works directors from San Carlos, Belmont, South San Francisco, and Brisbane and tasked us with this document. We took an existing document and tried to modify it to fit San Mateo County. It has been through the City Managers Association, Public Works folks and OES. We sent it back to the city public works directors for review. We asked them to send it to their city attorneys and make sure that their city managers were still on board. No comments were received back. At the end of December we sent it to Jim Porter, County Public Works director who sent it over to County Counsel in January. County Counsel sent it out in February to the city attorneys. He received comments on it for about two weeks. This is where we are now, trying to reconcile a few of those comments. I am trying to have it out within the next 60 days. Just to clarify this document is intended to be a city to city document similar to the 1994 underlying agreement. It is not intended to bring this document back here for approval or to roll it under the JPA.

Mass Notification System Update: Jeff Kearnan – The County is currently using the SMC alert system which is our brand name, not the vendor which will expire May 31, 2015. We have had this contract for seven years and I felt it was time to go back out for an RFP since it is funded using UASI grant dollars. About a year ago we started working with Alameda to look at a regional concept for notification. We drafted a very involved RFP asking for the Cadillac of all mass notification systems. We asked for any type of technology, all social media platforms, hand held phone with application opportunities, and we wanted it to be IPAWS (Integrated Public Alert and Warning System) compliant with FEMA. We have 750,000 residents in San Mateo County and in the event we needed to send out a blast message to all 750,000 residents and anyone visiting with a smart phone in the county we could. That was another compliant issue with FEMA. We formed a committee with Alameda, Marin and Monterey County and we interviewed a number of vendors. Right now Alameda is in contract with Everbridge. Everbridge is a large vendor that has contracts in the Washington DC metro area that consists of five million people, San Francisco Airport, Sacramento County, and Monterey County. It is a proven system. I am prepared to piggyback on to Alameda County's contract. It is presently with County Counsel. By joining the counties we will have more of a regional capability and a situational awareness in San Mateo County, Alameda County, Monterey County, soon to be Marin County, and the City and County of San Francisco which are looking to join in. This will help to bring the costs

down. We will be able to bring in all of the cities and special districts at no additional costs. The cost will come from the county and the county alone. Some of the points that I wrote down are: Mass notification system contract will provide a FEMA IPAWS, a wireless emergency alert fully capable for county agencies and our twenty operational area cities; the mass notification system will have unlimited minutes and unlimited notifications for all operational area users. That is very significant. Part of the package will also include the TENS or reverse 911 calls. Right now we use a separate vendor for this. We now pay the minutes on this when we use the TENS system. The funding will be provided through the UASI grant dollars. There will be no cost to the cities, county agencies or districts as long as the UASI funds are available. We manage the UASI funds and this is on the top of our list. If we have less dollars we will drop other projects to maintain this one. I think that we will have significant cost savings since we are joining in with other counties. The cost allocation formula will be developed by the county and the cities if UASI funds were not available. Again if that were to happen we would have time to plan. The goal is to make the mass notification a better deal. I know that a lot of the cities have their own systems. Cities can continue to use their own systems or join in with the county. You can start your own group or sub-group in the system. We could also have regional groups as well. Again we have four to seven counties in the region who are looking at the mass notification system. This is a great opportunity for our region. We are starting a marketing strategy with our county PIO and our OES team. Right now we only have 35,000 users on SMC alert. We are trying to increase that number. We are looking at overlapping contracts until we get the new contract in place. We will continue to use the brand name SMC alert. There will be no change for the community. We will migrate the data we have in the system presently to the new system.

Terry Nagel (Burlingame) - I was not aware that the cities had to sign up separately. I thought everyone just had access. So they have separate contracts?

Captain Kearnan- No. there will be one contract; it will be OES contract with the vendor. If you want to sign up for groups that we have established you can or you can set up your own groups within your own city.

Terry Nagel (Burlingame) - I do think that social media is a great way to spread the word, and bring other people into the group.

Captain Kearnan- Each city can develop their own system if they want.

Jay Benton (Hillsborough) - What is your best guess for timing for this to be available to

San Mateo County residents?

Captain Kearnan- I would like to launch this in June since this is our Disaster Preparedness week. That is my goal. I am not sure I can do that but I am pushing to do this. The vendor is experienced and they can turn the key very quickly, once you get through the contract negotiations and legal language.

Once again we will work with the City PIO's to get this out. We are also going to use this for our county employees for call back. We want to use this for more than just the community but government operations as well.

Deborah Penrose (Half Moon Bay) -Is there any plan to use visual aids?

Captain Kearnan- Yes there is a functional needs piece of this for the deaf. There is a machine you can purchase that it automatically types in the message.

Deborah Penrose (Half Moon Bay) - I think it is the way that I asked the question. I was thinking of more story telling with maps. It is a lot easier to see where something is when it comes with the message.

Captain Kearnan- Yes there is an option for attachment or a link.

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) - You mentioned that you can send a message in English or Spanish, can you do multiple languages in text messaging too?

It can do multiple languages. I would need to see if we do that or what the vendor can offer. Presently, if we send out a message in Spanish I use a Spanish translator to type in the message. Presently we are only doing this in Spanish and English. This is something I will need to follow up with the vendor.

Disaster Prep Day- June 6th: Nick Gottuso- We had a planning meeting today for Disaster Prep Day. The planning is going well. We have new exhibitors signing up for both the indoor and outdoor areas. Life flight has volunteered to come so we might have a helicopter if we can work out the logistics. We talked about the items to be given a way this year. We talked about a packet for the refrigerator that people could post medical information on. The presentations and signage will be similar to last year. Woodside Fire will be doing the CPR event this year. We have three more planning meetings before the event.

Wayne Lee (Millbrae) - Is neighborhood watch included?

Nick Gottuso (OES) - I am not sure if they will be there. Community police will be at Disaster Day.

Coalition for Safe Schools and Communities: Nancy Magee- I have presented at your past meetings but I am not sure if everyone knows about the Coalition for Safe Schools and Communities. This is a three prong approach to a comprehensive approach to school safety. It involves emergency response, big five protocol- five action immediate response to schools. In addition we also have work going on supporting mental health support around the school community and working on information sharing. There is a lot of work going on since it is countywide. We have a steering committee that meets monthly. The members are Probation, OES, County Counsel, County Board of Education, Fire Service, Health Services Agency, Community Colleges, Private Schools, School Districts, and Police and Sheriff's Association. There are three collaboratives up and running for mental health, in the North part of the County; Jefferson Union High School, Jefferson Elementary, Bay Shore, Brisbane and Pacifica, in the Central part of the County; San Mateo/Foster City Union High School District, Burlingame and Hillsborough, on the Coast; Cabrillo/Pescadero Unified School District. In the fall we intend to start working in the South on a collaborative. These collaborative meet on a monthly basis. In addition we are working on an update to the Big Five protocol to a 2015-2016 addition. We are refining the earthquake and hazardous material response, including gas leakage. We have six new school district superintendents in the County as well as some new police chiefs. We need to continue to educate everyone. We plan on putting an event together in August to bring everyone new together.

Pradeep Gupta (South San Francisco) - As I understand there were some legal barriers about sharing information. Has that been resolved?

Nancy Magee - We will be rolling out the MOU and consent forms to your city attorneys about information sharing for their review. The MOU contains what information can be shared in a legal context and during an emergency. It is spelled out in the MOU.

Red Cross Update: Since January we have responded to 13 incidents, 11 fires and 2 police actions. We helped with the response in San Francisco to the large fires that they have had. We are focusing on strengthening our volunteers with more training. We have been working on pushing out some new programs. We have been working on a

home Fire Preparedness Campaign. Our aim is to reduce deaths from fires by 25% in the next five years. We are working with other agencies on educating people on fire safety especially with at risk communities. Our first event is in Redwood City at the Redwood City Mobile Park, Le Mar. We will be installing fire alarms. We will be there with Redwood City Fire and CERT. We also have our Pillow Case Project. This is a preparedness training program for fifth graders. This shows them how to be better prepared in a disaster. They learn the science behind hazards and disasters. The hope is to create a generation that is more empowered to take action. The project actually came out of Hurricane Katrina. We want to continue to strengthen our response especially when it is needed. We want to make sure that our partners know what our services are and how to contact us.

PUBLIC COMMENT: - No public comments

ANNOUNCEMENTS: – No Announcements

Meeting was adjourned at 7:05 P.M.